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 which could not well have been the case, had he merely incorporated   
 the memoirs of others.   
 8. And again the notes will be found repeatedly to point out cases   
 where the narrator takes up again (with his characteristic “ so then” or   
 otherwise) the thread of history previously dropped (see e. g., and com-   
 pare, xi. 16, i. 5: xi. 19, viii, 1—4: xxi, 8, vi. 5, viii. 5 ff: xxii. 20,   
 vii. 58, viii. 1, &c.).   
 9. Another interesting source of evidence on this head is pointed out   
 by Mr. Smith, in his valuable work on the Voyage and Shipwreck of   
 St. Paul. He has shewn that in the various narratives of sea voyages   
 in this book, and in that ef the stilling of the storm in the Gospel, Luke   
 has, with remarkable consistency, shewn himself to be just so much   
 acquainted with the phrases and habits of seamen, as a landsman well   
 habituated to the sea, but himself no seaman, might be expected to   
 be. ‘Lo specify instances would be beyond my limits, besides that   
 Mr. Smith’s very interesting and ingenious argument and illustrations   
 would be spoiled by abridgment. I can only refer my reader to his   
 work'.   
 10. To the same class belong the intimations, slight indeed but   
 interesting, discoverable here and in the Gospel, in the deseriptions of   
 diseases, that the author was one well acquainted with them and with   
 the technical language of the medical profession. Of this kind are Luke   
 iv. 88; Acts xxviii. 8: see also Luke viii. 48, 44,—Acts iii. 7, xii. 23,   
 xiii. 11, and compare Col. iv. 14.   
 11. It will be necessary to mention the various hypotheses which   
 have substituted some other narrator for Luke in the parts of the Acts   
 where the first person is used, or have merged his personality in that of   
 some other companion of Paul: and, irrespective of the above argu-   
 ments, to deal with them on their own merits. (a) Bleek and De   
 Wette hold Tmoruy, and not Luke, to have been the companion of   
 Paul and the narrator in the first person,—and Luke to have inserted   
 those portions from a journal kept by Timotheus, and without alteration.   
 —But this is not consistent with ch. xx. 4, 5:\*where, when the com-   
 panions of Paul have been named, and Timotheus among them, it is said,   
 “ These having gone forward waited for us at Troas:” the escape from   
 this objection attempted by making “these” refer to Tychicus and Tro-   
 phizaus only, being, on all ordinary rules of construction, inadmissible.   
 This reason is, to my mind, sufficient: those who wish to see others   
 brought out, and the supports of the hypothesis (which are entirely   
 negative and inferential) invalidated, may consult Dr, Davidson's Intro-   
 duction to the N. T., vol. ii. pp. 9 ff   
 (0) Silas ‘was the narrator in the first person, and indeed the author   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
 1 A second edition of Mr. Smith’s book has appeared, enlarged with much interesting   
 detail.   
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